

Please can you explain to the Western Australian community why the AMA is claiming that there has been a ‘global pandemic’ of a new coronavirus when [there is no science to support this statement?](#)

Please listen to these doctors all over the world state there is ‘[no true medical pandemic](#)’ (The Question on Everyone’s Mind).

The many flaws in the WHO ‘pandemic’ claim have been exposed and I am wondering why the AMA is still promoting this agenda in WA that continues to remove human rights from West Australians *without any scientific basis*. In fact, WA is the only state that has made it a criminal offence to refuse a medical directive. Why?

The flaws in the claim of a ‘global pandemic’ include:

1) The WHO’s original claim in March 2020 was based *solely* on a mathematical model that used *flawed assumptions* about virus transmission. This is not science. This model wildly exaggerated the deaths/cases and [was dumped by the US Surgeon-General](#), Jerome Adams, on 13 April because it was “*not based on real data*”.

2) Locking down countries and closing borders is not the traditional evidence-based method of controlling *any infectious agent* due to the way in which they cause disease. The WHO [admitted this fact on 12 October 2020](#).

3) The only way to get ‘real data’ in order to declare a ‘global pandemic’, is to experience the virus in the country and use the traditional methods of isolating and quarantining the sick people *first* to see how this virus *is transmitting* in different countries and host populations. This *was never done* to prove that the virus would have been a ‘pandemic’ in Australia. No real data has been collected in Australia and now it is clearly showing it is not a true medical pandemic.

4) Closing borders as a ‘*precautionary measure*’ before a virus is in the country *is not a scientific method* and *has never been used to control* any infectious agent.

5) The ‘cases’ of this disease published in the media were people *without symptoms* that were used by the mainstream media to frighten the public about a ‘pandemic’. The ‘cases’ were based on a positive RT-PCR test that [cannot diagnose infections](#) (the whole natural virus) in people. The test is a useless test for diagnosis, and *even if it could* accurately detect a whole viral genome, ([which it can’t](#)), it has a very high *false-positive rate (>40%)* for detecting segments of the genome.

This means that healthy people are being quarantined based on a PCR test that *does not identify the presence of the virus*. It should also be noted that even if a virus is present in a healthy person, it *may never cause disease*. It may remain sub-clinical due to the multifactorial nature of

disease expression from infectious agents. This is described in my recently published paper on the [misuse of the precautionary principle by governments](#) in the promotion of vaccines.

Portugal has recently stated in a court ruling that '[The PCR test is unreliable and quarantines unlawful](#)'.

The new COVID19 vaccine is experimental and [unproven for safety or efficacy](#). It includes synthetic (recombinant) Coronavirus 2019 (SARSCov-2) and other new technology. Here are the global doctors saying there is no true pandemic and they are being censored by the media: [The Question on Everyone's Mind: Experts Discuss COVID19 Vaccine](#).

As you know vaccine manufacturers are not worried about the safety of vaccines *because they are indemnified* for any harm caused by vaccines. This includes the [safety of COVID19 vaccines](#), including the [AstraZeneca vaccine](#).

I would like the **Australian Medical Association** and the **Western Australian Health Minister, Roger Cook**, to address this information and explain in your response why:

1. i) You are claiming there is a 'pandemic' of a coronavirus and
2. ii) Why you are pushing for an unproven experimental COVID19 vaccine onto the population for a disease that [99.9% of people recover from and 99.6% of people *over the age of 65* recover from?](#)

Experimenting on the population is a breach of the Nuremberg Code and will result in a charge of genocide and crimes against humanity for perpetrators under the International Criminal Code Statute that Australia has ratified. This action against governments has already started in the [international criminal courts](#).

Please include in your response to these points why *you do not think* that coercive vaccination policies – *with any vaccine* – classifies as genocide and a crime against the population, under Article 6 and 7 of the International Criminal Code Statute, when *all vaccines* carry the risk of serious disease and death for many people?

I have copied Travis Carter and Dwain Hill into this email and I look forward to hearing your response on radio 897 FM soon. This is an open letter that I will publish on my website.

Kind regards,

Dr. Judy Wilyman

- Recently published paper by Dr. Judy Wilyman – '[Misapplication of the Precautionary Principle has Misplaced the Burden of Proof of Vaccine Safety](#).'